

LOCAL PROPERTIES OF GOOD MODULI SPACES

JAROD ALPER

ABSTRACT. We study the local properties of Artin stacks and their good moduli spaces, if they exist. We show that near closed points with linearly reductive stabilizer, Artin stacks formally locally admit good moduli spaces. We also give conditions for when the existence of good moduli spaces can be deduced from the existence of étale charts admitting good moduli spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

We address the question on whether good moduli spaces for an Artin stack can be constructed “locally.” The main results of this paper are: (1) good moduli spaces exist formally locally and (2) sufficient conditions are given for the Zariski-local existence of good moduli spaces given étale-local existence. We envision that these results may be of use to construct moduli schemes of Artin stacks without the classical use of geometric invariant theory and semi-stability computations.

The notion of a *good moduli space* was introduced in [Alp08] to associate a scheme or algebraic space to Artin stacks with nice geometric properties reminiscent of Mumford’s good GIT quotients. While good moduli spaces cannot be expected to distinguish between all points of the stack, they do parameterize points up to orbit closure equivalence. See section 2 for the precise definition of a good moduli space and for a summary of its properties.

While the paper [Alp08] systematically develops the properties of good moduli spaces, the existence was only proved in certain cases. For instance, if $\mathcal{X} = [\mathrm{Spec} A/G]$ is a quotient stack of an affine by a linearly reductive group, then $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A^G$ is a good moduli space ([Alp08, Theorem 13.2]). Additionally, for any quasi-compact Artin stack $\mathcal{X}$ with a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$, there is a naturally defined semi-stable locus $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$ and stable locus $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ such that $\phi : \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{ss}} \rightarrow Y$ is a good moduli space where Y is a quasi-projective scheme, and there is an open subscheme $V \subseteq Y$ such that $\phi^{-1}(V) = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\phi|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathrm{s}}}$ is a coarse moduli space ([Alp08, Theorem 11.14]).

One might dream that there is some topological criterion guaranteeing existence of a good moduli space in the same spirit of the finite inertia hypothesis guaranteeing the existence of a coarse moduli space. One might pursue the following approach:

- (1) Show that good moduli spaces exist locally around closed points.
- (2) Show that these patches glue to form a global good moduli space.

We are tempted to conjecture that if $x \in |\mathcal{X}|$ is a closed point of an Artin stack with linearly reductive stabilizer, then there exists an open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ containing x such that $\mathcal{U}$ admits a good moduli space. However, Example 2.3 shows that this is too much

Date: April 21, 2009.

to hope for. While the stack in this example is quite pathological, it is unclear what the additional requirement should be to guarantee local existence of a good moduli space.

Although local patches of coarse moduli spaces always glue, the question of gluing good moduli spaces can be quite delicate and will not be addressed here.

While we cannot establish the existence of good moduli spaces Zariski-locally or étale-locally, we show that formally locally, good moduli spaces exist around closed points $\xi \in |\mathcal{X}|$ with linearly reductive stabilizer. Denote by $\mathcal{X}_i$ the nilpotent thickenings of the induced closed immersion $\mathcal{G}_\xi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$. Section 3 is devoted to making precise the statement that if $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ is the “completion of $\mathcal{X}$ at ξ ”, then $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf} \varprojlim \Gamma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_i})$ is a good moduli space. In the process, we develop the geometric invariant theory for quotients of formal affine schemes by linearly reductive group schemes (see Section 4).

We prove in 3.3 that if there exists a good moduli space, then this formally local description is correct. Precisely,

Theorem. *Suppose $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ is a good moduli space over $\mathrm{Spec} k$ and $x : \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ with image $y = \phi(x)$. There are isomorphisms of the nilpotent thickenings $\mathcal{X}_i \cong [\mathrm{Spec} A_i / G_x]$ which induces an action of G_x on $\mathrm{Spf} A := \varprojlim A_i$. There are isomorphisms of topological rings*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y} & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim (A_i^{G_x}) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & A^{G_x} \end{array}$$

In particular, the formal local ring $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y}$ at a closed point $y \in Y$ of a good moduli space is simply the invariants of the induced action of G_x on a miniversal deformation space of the unique closed point $x : \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ above y .

A sufficiently powerful structure theorem for Artin stacks giving étale charts by quotient stacks could imply existence of good moduli spaces Zariski-locally. We recall the conjecture from [Alp09]:

Conjecture. *If $\mathcal{X}$ is a finite type Artin stack over $\mathrm{Spec} k$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}(k)$ has linearly reductive stabilizer, then there is an algebraic space X over $\mathrm{Spec} k$ with an action of the stabilizer G_x , a point $\tilde{x} \in X$, and an étale morphism $[X/G_x] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ inducing an isomorphism $G_{\tilde{x}} \xrightarrow{\sim} G_x$.*

There are natural variants of this conjecture that one might hope are true. If the conjecture is true over $S = \mathrm{Spec} k$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}(k)$ with the additional requirement that X is affine, then there is an induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W} = [X/G_x] & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \\ W & & \end{array}$$

where φ is a good moduli space, f is an étale, representable morphism, and there is a point $w \in \mathcal{W}(k)$ with $f(w) = x$ inducing an isomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{W}(k)}(w) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}(k)}(x)$. This is not enough to prove directly that there exists a good moduli space Zariski-locally (see Remark 5.10). This leads to the natural question of what additional hypotheses need to

be placed on a morphism $f : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ where $\mathcal{W}$ admits a good moduli space to imply that $\mathcal{X}$ admits a good moduli space. We prove in section 5 (see section 2 for definitions):

Theorem. *Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack locally of finite type over an excellent base S with affine diagonal and there exists an étale, separated, pointwise stabilizer preserving and universally weakly saturated morphism $f : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that there exist a good moduli space $\varphi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow W$. Then there exists a good moduli space $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X$ inducing a cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \phi \\ W & \dashrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

This theorem may be of use in practice to prove existence of good moduli spaces for certain Artin stacks which can be shown to admit explicit étale presentations as quotient stacks. Conversely, if we assume that there exists a good moduli space $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$, then one might hope to show the local quotient conjecture is true by showing that étale locally on Y , $\mathcal{X}$ is a quotient stack by the stabilizer.

Acknowledgments. I thank Max Lieblich, Martin Olsson, and Ravi Vakil for suggestions.

2. NOTATION

We will assume schemes and algebraic spaces to be quasi-separated. An Artin stack, in this paper, will have a quasi-compact and separated diagonal. We will work over a fixed base scheme S .

2.1. Good moduli spaces. We recall the following two definitions and their essential properties from [Alp08].

Definition 2.1. ([Alp08, Definition 3.1]) A morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of Artin stacks is *cohomologically affine* if f is quasi-compact and the functor

$$f_* : \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{X}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathcal{Y})$$

is exact.

A representable morphism is cohomologically affine if and only if it is affine. Cohomologically affine morphisms are stable under composition and base change (if the target has quasi-affine diagonal) and are local on the target under faithfully flat morphisms.

Definition 2.2. ([Alp08, Definition 4.1]) A morphism $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$, with $\mathcal{X}$ an Artin stack and Y an algebraic space, is a *good moduli space* if:

- (i) ϕ is cohomologically affine.
- (ii) The natural map $\mathcal{O}_Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an isomorphism of sheaves.

If $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ is a good moduli space, then ϕ is surjective, universally closed, universally submersive, has geometrically connected fibers and is universal for maps to algebraic spaces. They are stable under arbitrary base change on Y and are local in the fpqc topology on Y . Furthermore, they satisfy the strong geometric property that if $\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ are closed substacks, then scheme-theoretically $\mathrm{im} \mathcal{Z}_1 \cap \mathrm{im} \mathcal{Z}_2 = \mathrm{im}(\mathcal{Z}_1 \cap \mathcal{Z}_2)$. This implies that

for an algebraically closed $\mathcal{O}_S$ -field k , there is a bijection between isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathcal{X}(k)$ up to closure equivalence and k -valued points of Y (ie. for points $x_1, x_2 : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $\phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2)$ if and only if $\overline{\{x_1\}} \cap \overline{\{x_2\}} \neq \emptyset$ in $\mathcal{X} \times_S k$). Furthermore, we have the following generalization of Hilbert's 14th Problem: if S is an excellent scheme and $\mathcal{X}$ is finite type over S , then Y is finite type over S .

We also include the following lemma which should have been included in [Alp08].

Lemma 2.3. *If $\phi_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ and $\phi_2 : \mathcal{X}_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ are good moduli spaces, then $\phi_1 \times \phi_2 : \mathcal{X}_1 \times_S \mathcal{X}_2 \rightarrow Y_1 \times_S Y_2$ is a good moduli space.*

Proof. The cartesian squares

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{X}_1 \times_S \mathcal{X}_2 & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, \phi_2)} & \mathcal{X}_1 \times_S Y_2 & \xrightarrow{(\phi_1, \text{id})} & Y_1 \times_S Y_2 & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & & & & \searrow & \\ \mathcal{X}_2 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y_2 & & & & \mathcal{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y_1 \end{array}$$

imply that (id, ϕ_2) and (ϕ_1, id) are good moduli space morphisms (ie. quasi-compact morphisms $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ which are cohomologically affine and induce isomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$; see [Alp08, Remark 4.4]) so their composition $\phi_1 \times \phi_2$ is a good moduli space. $\square$

2.2. Stabilizer preserving morphisms. We quickly recall the following definition introduced in [Alp09] which captures the notion of *fixed-point reflecting* morphisms was introduced by Deligne, Kollár ([Kol97, Definition 2.12]) and by Keel and Mori ([KM97, Definition 2.2]).

Definition 2.4. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of Artin stacks. We define:

- (i) f is *stabilizer preserving* if the induced $\mathcal{X}$ -morphism $\psi : I_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{Y}} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is an isomorphism.
- (ii) For $\xi \in |\mathcal{X}|$, f is *stabilizer preserving at ξ* if for a (equivalently any) geometric point $x : \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ representing ξ , the fiber $\psi_x : \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}(k)}(x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{Y}(k)}(f(x))$ is an isomorphism of group schemes over k .
- (iii) f is *pointwise stabilizer preserving* if f is stabilizer preserving at ξ for all $\xi \in |\mathcal{X}|$.

Remark 2.5. Any morphism of algebraic spaces is stabilizer preserving and any pointwise stabilizer preserving morphism is representable. Both properties are stable under composition and base change. While a stabilizer preserving morphism is clearly pointwise stabilizer preserving, the converse is not true.

2.3. Example. The following example shows that it is too much to hope for that every Artin stack Zariski-locally admits a good moduli space around a closed point with linearly reductive stabilizer. Let X be the non-separated plane attained by gluing two planes $\mathbb{A}^2 = \text{Spec } k[x, y]$ along the open set $\{x \neq 0\}$. The action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on $\text{Spec } k[x, y]_x$ given by $(x, y) \mapsto (x, -y)$ extends to an action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on X by swapping and flipping the axis. Then $\mathcal{X} = [X/\mathbb{Z}_2]$ is a non-separated Deligne-Mumford stack. David Rydh shows in [Ryd07, Example 7.15] that there is no neighborhood of the origin of this stack that admits a morphism to an algebraic space which is universal for maps to schemes. In particular, there cannot exist a neighborhood of the origin which admits a good moduli space.

2.4. Weakly saturated morphisms. For a morphism of Artin stacks over a field, the property that closed points map to closed points have several essential properties (see for instance Theorem 5.1). However, this does not seem to be the right notion over an arbitrary base scheme as even finite type morphisms of schemes (eg. $\mathrm{Spec} k(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k[x]_{(x)}$) need not send closed points to closed points. It turns out that the notion of weakly saturated morphisms enjoy similar properties.

Definition 2.6. A morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of Artin stacks over an algebraic space S is *weakly saturated* if for every geometric point $x : \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ with $x \in |\mathcal{X} \times_S k|$ closed, the image $f_s(x) \in |\mathcal{Y} \times_S k|$ is closed. A morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is *universally weakly saturated* if for every morphism of Artin stacks $\mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$ is weakly saturated.

Remark 2.7. Although the above definition seems to depend on the base S , it is in fact independent: if $S \rightarrow S'$ is any morphism of algebraic spaces then f is weakly saturated over S if and only if f is weakly saturated over S' . Any morphism of algebraic spaces is universally weakly saturated. If $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a morphism of Artin stacks *finite type over* S , then f is weakly saturated if and only if for every geometric point $s : \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow S$, f_s maps closed points to closed points. If $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a morphism of Artin stacks *finite type over* $\mathrm{Spec} k$, then f is weakly saturated if and only if f maps closed points to closed points.

Remark 2.8. The notion of weakly saturated is not stable under base change. Consider the two different open substacks $\mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2 \subseteq [\mathbb{P}_1/\mathbb{G}_m]$ isomorphic to $[\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]$ over $\mathrm{Spec} k$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{U}_2 \sqcup \mathrm{Spec} k \sqcup \mathrm{Spec} k & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{U}_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{U}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{U}_2 & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{P}_1/\mathbb{G}_m] \end{array}$$

is 2-cartesian and the induced morphisms $\mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_i$ are open immersions which are not weakly saturated. This example shows that even étale, stabilizer preserving, surjective, weakly saturated morphisms may not be stable under base change by themselves which indicates that the *universally weakly saturated* hypothesis in Theorem 5.8 is necessary.

Remark 2.9. There is a stronger notion of a *saturated* morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ requiring for every geometric point $x : \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ with image $s : \mathrm{Spec} k \rightarrow S$, then $f_s(\overline{\{x\}}) \subseteq |\mathcal{X} \times_S k|$ is closed. We hope to explore further the properties of saturated and weakly saturated morphisms as well as develop practical criteria to verify them in future work.

Remark 2.10. Recall as in [Alp08, Definition 6.1], that if $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ is a good moduli space, an open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is *saturated for* ϕ if $\phi^{-1}(\phi(\mathcal{U})) = \mathcal{U}$. In this case, an open immersion $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is weakly saturated if and only if $\mathcal{U}$ is saturated for ϕ .

3. GOOD MODULI SPACES FOR FORMAL SCHEMES

In this section, we show that the theory of good moduli spaces carries over to the formal setting. We will avoid using formal Artin stacks and make all statements and arguments using smooth, adic pre-equivalence relations. We will also only consider the case where the good formal moduli spaces are formal schemes which suffices for our applications. (We avoid using formal algebraic spaces because they have only been developed for separated, locally noetherian formal algebraic spaces and in Theorem 3.1, the noetherianness

of the quotient should follow from the noetherian property of $\mathfrak{U}$ and the properties of good moduli spaces rather than being implicitly assumed.) Our main interest is in fact the case of the inclusion of the residual gerbe of a closed point $\mathcal{G}_\xi \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ so that, in particular, the Y_i are Artinian (dimension 0 noetherian schemes) and the formal good moduli space $\mathfrak{Y}$ is a formal affine scheme with underlying topological space of a point.

3.1. Setup. We begin by setting up the notation and making elementary remarks.

3.1.1. A *smooth, adic S -groupoid of locally noetherian formal schemes* consists of a source and target morphisms $s, t : \mathfrak{R} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{U}$ of locally noetherian formal schemes which are smooth and adic, an identity morphism $e : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$, an inverse $i : \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$, and a composition $c : \mathfrak{R} \times_{s, \mathfrak{U}, t} \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$ satisfying the usual relations. If $\mathcal{I}$ is an ideal of definition of $\mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathcal{J} = f^* \mathcal{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$, we set U_n and R_n to be the closed subschemes defined by $\mathcal{J}^{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{I}^{n+1}$, respectively. There are induced smooth S -groupoids $s_n, t_n : R_n \rightrightarrows U_n$ with identity $e_n : U_n \rightarrow R_n$, an inverse $i_n : R_n \rightarrow R_n$, and a composition $c_n : R_n \times_{s_n, U_n, t_n} R_n \rightarrow R_n$. Set $\mathcal{X}_n = [U_n/R_n]$. Note that by [Alp08, Prop 3.9(iv)] $\mathcal{X}_n$ is cohomologically affine if and only if $\mathcal{X}_0$ is.

Let $\mathcal{X}_n = [U_n/R_n]$ and suppose $\phi_i : \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow Y_i$ is a good moduli space where Y_i is a scheme. Let $q_i : U_i \rightarrow Y_i$. There are induced closed immersions $Y_i \rightarrow Y_{i+1}$. The closed immersion $\mathcal{X}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_n$ is defined by a sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I}$ such that $\mathcal{I}^{n+1} = 0$. The closed immersion $Y_0 \hookrightarrow Y_n$ is defined by $\phi_* \mathcal{I}$, which is nilpotent since $(\phi_* \mathcal{I})^{n+1} \subseteq \phi_*(\mathcal{I}^{n+1}) = 0$. It follows from [EGA, I.10.6.3] that there exists a formal scheme $\mathfrak{Y} = \varinjlim Y_i$ and that there is an induced morphism $q : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$.

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} R_0 & \longrightarrow & R_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{R} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ U_0 & \longrightarrow & U_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{U} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{X}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ Y_0 & \longrightarrow & Y_1 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{Y} \end{array}$$

q

where all appropriate squares are 2-commutative and the appropriate squares in the top and middle row are 2-cartesian. Note that the bottom row of squares is not necessary cartesian. (There should exist a geometric object $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ (ie. a formal Artin stack) filling in the above diagram for which q factors through.)

We note that the formal scheme $\mathfrak{Y}$ and the morphism $q : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ do not depend on the choice of the ideal of definition $\mathcal{I}$.

We do not know a priori that $\mathfrak{Y}$ is locally noetherian. In particular, if the $Y_i = \text{Spec } A_i$ are affine schemes, it is not immediate that the topological ring $\varprojlim A_i$ is either adic or noetherian.

3.1.2. There is a natural map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow (q_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}})^{\mathfrak{R}}$ where on an open $V \subseteq \mathfrak{Y}$, $(q_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}})^{\mathfrak{R}}(V)$ is the sheaf of topological rings defined as the equalizer

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}(q^{-1}(V)) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{R}}((q \circ t)^{-1}(V))$$

and clearly $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}(q^{-1}(V))$ factors through this equalizer as $q \circ s = q \circ t$.

3.1.3. More generally, if $\mathfrak{F}$ is a coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ -modules, an $\mathfrak{R}$ -action on $\mathfrak{F}$ is an isomorphism $\alpha : s^*\mathfrak{F} \rightarrow t^*\mathfrak{F}$ satisfying the usual cocycle condition. If F_n denotes the pullback of $\mathfrak{F}$ to U_n , then F_n inherits a R_n -action and therefore descends to a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}_n$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_n}$ -modules. We will denote $(q_*\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{R}}$ to be the sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ -modules defined by the equalizer

$$q_*\mathfrak{F} \rightrightarrows_{\alpha \circ s^*}^{t^*} (q \circ t)_* t^*\mathfrak{F}$$

(If there were a formal stack $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$, then $(q_*\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{R}}$ should simply be the push forward under $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ of the descended sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{\mathcal{X}}}$ -modules $\widehat{\mathfrak{F}}.$) We also write $\Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{R}} = \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, (q_*\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{R}})$.

It is not immediate that $\mathfrak{F}^{\mathfrak{R}}$ is coherent. The morphisms $(q_*\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{R}} \rightarrow ((q_i)_*F_i)^{R_i} = (\phi_i)_*\mathcal{F}_i$ induces a morphism of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ -modules.

$$(2) \quad (q_*\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{R}} \longrightarrow \varprojlim (\phi_i)_*\mathcal{F}_i$$

3.1.4. If $\mathfrak{I}$ is a coherent sheaf of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$, we say that $\mathfrak{I}$ is $\mathfrak{R}$ -invariant if $s^*\mathfrak{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}} = t^*\mathfrak{I} \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$. The sheaf $\mathfrak{I}$ therefore inherits an $\mathfrak{R}$ -action. We say that a closed subscheme $\mathfrak{Z} \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ is $\mathfrak{R}$ -invariant if it is defined by an invariant sheaf of ideals.

3.1.5. For any adic morphism of formal schemes $\mathfrak{Y}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$, by taking fiber products, there is an induced diagram as in 1. There are source and target morphisms $s', t' : \mathfrak{X}' \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{U}'$, an identity morphism $e' : \mathfrak{U}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}'$, an inverse $i' : \mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}'$ and a composition $c' : \mathfrak{X}' \times_{s', \mathfrak{U}', t'} \mathfrak{X}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}'$ satisfying the usual relations. Suppose further that $\mathfrak{Y}'$, $\mathfrak{Y}$, and $\mathfrak{U}' = \mathfrak{Y}' \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{U}$ are locally noetherian. Then $(s', t' : \mathfrak{X}' \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{U}', e', i')$ indeed defines a smooth, adic S -groupoid of locally noetherian formal schemes. Because good moduli spaces are stable under arbitrary base change, there are good moduli spaces $\phi'_i : \mathcal{X}'_i \rightarrow Y'_i$. Furthermore, the induced morphisms $\varinjlim U'_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}$, $\varinjlim R'_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}$, and $\varinjlim Y_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}'$ are isomorphism.

3.2. Formal good moduli spaces.

Theorem 3.1. *With the notation of 3.1,*

- (i) *The natural map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow (q_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}})^{\mathfrak{R}}$ is an isomorphism of sheaves of topological rings.*
- (ii) *The functor from coherent sheaves on $\mathfrak{U}$ with $\mathfrak{R}$ -actions to sheaves on $\mathfrak{Y}$ given by $\mathfrak{F} \mapsto (q_*\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{R}}$ is exact. Furthermore, the morphism $(q_*\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{R}} \rightarrow \varprojlim (\phi_i)_*\mathcal{F}_i$ is an isomorphism of topological $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ -modules.*
- (iii) *q is surjective*
- (iv) *If $\mathfrak{Z} \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ is a closed, $\mathfrak{R}$ -invariant formal subscheme, then $q(\mathfrak{Z})$ is closed.*
- (v) *If $\mathfrak{Z}_1, \mathfrak{Z}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$ are closed, $\mathfrak{R}$ -invariant formal subschemes, then set-theoretically*

$$q(\mathfrak{Z}_1) \cap q(\mathfrak{Z}_2) = q(\mathfrak{Z}_1 \cap \mathfrak{Z}_2)$$

- (vi) q is universal for $\mathfrak{R}$ -invariant maps to formal schemes. That is, given a morphism $\psi : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}$ where $\mathfrak{W}$ is a formal scheme such that $\mathfrak{s} \circ \psi = \mathfrak{t} \circ \psi$, then there exists a unique morphism $\chi : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{W}$ such that $\chi \circ q = \psi$.
- (vii) If $Y = \mathrm{Spf} A$ is an affine formal scheme, then A is noetherian.

Suppose furthermore that $\dim Y_0 = 0$ (ie. Y_0 is an Artinian scheme).

- (viii) $\mathfrak{Y}$ is a locally noetherian formal scheme. In particular, if $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathrm{Spf} A$ and $m = \ker(A \rightarrow A_0)$, then A is an m -adic noetherian ring.
- (ix) If $\mathfrak{F}$ is coherent sheaf of $\mathfrak{U}$ with $\mathfrak{R}$ -action, then $(q_*\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{R}}$ is a coherent $\mathfrak{Y}$ -module.
- (x) If $\mathfrak{I}$ and $\mathfrak{J}$ are two $\mathfrak{R}$ -invariant coherent sheaves of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$, then the natural map

$$(q_*\mathfrak{I})^{\mathfrak{R}} + (q_*\mathfrak{J})^{\mathfrak{R}} \longrightarrow (q_*(\mathfrak{I} + \mathfrak{J}))^{\mathfrak{R}}$$

is an isomorphism. If $\mathfrak{Z}_1$ and $\mathfrak{Z}_2$ are $\mathfrak{R}$ -invariant formal closed subschemes, then scheme-theoretically

$$\mathrm{im} \mathfrak{Z}_1 \cap \mathrm{im} \mathfrak{Z}_2 = \mathrm{im}(\mathfrak{Z}_1 \cap \mathfrak{Z}_2)$$

where $\mathrm{im} \mathfrak{Z}$ denotes the scheme-theoretic image of $\mathfrak{Z}$ under $q : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ and is defined by the coherent sheaf of ideals $\ker(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow q_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}})$.

Proof. For (i), for each n we have an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y_n} \longrightarrow (q_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{U_n} \rightrightarrows (q_n \circ t_n)_*\mathcal{O}_{R_n}$$

By taking inverse limits, we get that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}} = \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_{Y_n}$ is naturally identified with the equalizer of $q_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}} \rightrightarrows (q \circ t)_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{R}}$, which is the definition $(q_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}})^{\mathfrak{R}}$.

For (ii), first the above argument generalizes to show that the morphism in (2) is an isomorphism of topological $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ -modules. Indeed, for each n we have an exact sequence

$$(\phi_n)_*\mathcal{F}_n \longrightarrow (q_n)_*F_n \rightrightarrows (q_n \circ t_n)_*t_n^*F_n$$

and by taking inverse limits, we get that $\varprojlim (\phi_n)_*\mathcal{F}_n$ is identified with the equalizer $q_*\mathfrak{F} \rightrightarrows (q \circ t)_*t^*\mathfrak{F}$. The functor $\mathfrak{F} \mapsto (q_*\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{R}}$ is clearly left exact. Consider a surjection $\mathfrak{F} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ -modules with $\mathfrak{R}$ -action, which induces surjections $F_n \twoheadrightarrow G_n$ of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{U_n}$ -modules with R_n -action and $\mathcal{F}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}_n$ of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{X_n}$ -modules. Since $(\phi_n)_*$ is exact, we have $(\phi_n)_*\mathcal{F}_n \twoheadrightarrow (\phi_n)_*\mathcal{G}_n$ is surjective. Furthermore since the inverse system $((\phi_n)_*\mathcal{G}_n)$ is ML (indeed $(\phi_{n+1})_*\mathcal{G}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow (\phi_n)_*\mathcal{G}_n$ since ϕ_{n+1} is exact), we have

$$\varprojlim (\phi_n)_*\mathcal{F}_n \twoheadrightarrow \varprojlim (\phi_n)_*\mathcal{G}_n$$

is surjective which is identified with $(q_*\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{R}} \twoheadrightarrow (q_*\mathfrak{G})^{\mathfrak{R}}$.

Since properties (iii), (iv), and (v) are topological, they follow directly from corresponding property for good moduli spaces.

For (vi), the argument of [Mum65, Prop 0.1 and Rmk 0.5] adapts to this setting as in [Alp08, Theorem 4.15(vi)].

For (vii), let $I \subseteq A$ be an ideal. Let $I_n = \pi_n(I) \subseteq A_n$ where $Y_n = \mathrm{Spec} A_n$ and $\pi_n : A \twoheadrightarrow A_n$. The closed subscheme $U'_n = U_n \times_{\mathrm{Spec} A_n} \mathrm{Spec} A_n/I_n \hookrightarrow U_n$ is defined by the sheaf of ideals $J_n = q_n^*\tilde{I}_n \cdot \mathcal{O}_{U_n}$. Then $\mathfrak{U}' = \varprojlim U'_n$ is closed formal subscheme of $\mathfrak{U}$ defined by a coherent sheaf of ideals $\mathfrak{J} = \varprojlim J_n$ which is R_n -invariant descending to a coherent

sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I}_n$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_n}$. By [Alp08, Lemma 4.12], $I_n \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{I}_n)$ is an isomorphism and therefore by part (ii), in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim I_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{I})^{\mathfrak{R}} & \longrightarrow & \varprojlim \Gamma(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{I}_n) \end{array}$$

the bottom row is an isomorphism. It follows that the left vertical arrow is an isomorphism. Since $\mathfrak{U}$ is noetherian, it follows that any ascending chain $I^{(1)} \subseteq I^{(2)} \subseteq \dots$ of ideals in A terminates.

For (viii) and (ix), we write $Y = \mathrm{Spf} A$ where A is a noetherian ring by (vii). We must show that A is an adic ring. Let $I_n = \ker(A \rightarrow A_n)$. Clearly, $I_n \supseteq I_0^n$. Since A/I_0^n is Artinian, the descending chain $I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq \dots$ terminates so that there exists a k such that $I_0^n \supseteq I_k$. This implies that I_0^n is open so that A is I_0 -adic. Similarly, $M = \Gamma(\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{I})^{\mathfrak{R}} = \varprojlim \Gamma(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{I}_i)$ is Hausdorff and complete with respect to the I_0 -adic topology. It follows from [EGA, 0.7.2.9] that M is a finitely generated A -module.

For (x), we have the identifications $(q_*\mathcal{I})^{\mathfrak{R}} = \varprojlim (\phi_n)_*\mathcal{I}_n$, $(q_*\mathfrak{I})^{\mathfrak{R}} = \varprojlim (\phi_n)_*\mathcal{I}_n$ and $q_*(\mathcal{I} + \mathfrak{I})^{\mathfrak{R}} = \varprojlim (\phi_n)_*(\mathcal{I}_n + \mathcal{J}_n)$ where $\mathcal{I}_n$ and $\mathcal{J}_n$ are the corresponding sheaf of ideals on $\mathcal{X}_n$. For each n , by [Alp08, Lemma 4.9], the inclusion $(\phi_n)_*\mathcal{I}_n + (\phi_n)_*\mathcal{J}_n \rightarrow (\phi_n)_*(\mathcal{I}_n + \mathcal{J}_n)$ is an isomorphism. By taking inverse limits,

$$\varprojlim ((\phi_n)_*\mathcal{I}_n + (\phi_n)_*\mathcal{J}_n) \longrightarrow \varprojlim (\phi_n)_*(\mathcal{I}_n + \mathcal{J}_n)$$

is an isomorphism. Since

$$\varprojlim (\phi_n)_*\mathcal{I}_n + \varprojlim (\phi_n)_*\mathcal{J}_n \longrightarrow \varprojlim ((\phi_n)_*\mathcal{I}_n + (\phi_n)_*\mathcal{J}_n)$$

is also an isomorphism, we have that $(q_*\mathcal{I})^{\mathfrak{R}} + (q_*\mathfrak{I})^{\mathfrak{R}} \rightarrow (q_*(\mathcal{I} + \mathfrak{I}))^{\mathfrak{R}}$ is an isomorphism. The final statement follows from the identification of the coherent sheaf of ideals $(q_*\mathcal{I})^{\mathfrak{R}}$ with $\ker(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow q_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Z}})$. $\square$

Remark 3.2. As in [Alp08], we contend that properties (i) and (ii) should in fact define the notion of a *formal good moduli space* and these two properties alone should imply the others. However, this theory would best be developed in the language of formal stacks which we are avoiding in this paper.

3.3. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a noetherian Artin stack and $\mathcal{Z}$ is a closed substack which is cohomologically affine, then the closed immersion $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ induces a smooth, adic S -groupoid of locally noetherian schemes and a diagram as in (1). Let $\mathcal{X}_0 = \mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{X}_n$ is the closed substack corresponding to the n th nilpotent thickening. Choose a smooth presentation $U \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ and set $U_i = U \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_i$ and $R_i = R \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_i$. Then the smooth S -groupoids $R_i \rightrightarrows U_i$ induces a smooth, adic S -groupoid of locally noetherian schemes $\mathfrak{R} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{U}$ where $\mathfrak{U} = \varinjlim U_i$ and $\mathfrak{R} = \varinjlim R_i$ (with the source, target, identity, inverse and composition morphisms defined in the obvious way).

Since $\mathcal{X}_0$ is cohomologically affine, its nilpotent thickenings $\mathcal{X}_n$ are also cohomologically affine. Therefore, there are good moduli spaces $\phi_n : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow Y_n$. If $\mathfrak{Y} = \varinjlim Y_i =$

$\mathrm{Spec} \varprojlim \Gamma(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_n})$, there is an induced $\mathfrak{R}$ -invariant morphism $q : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ and we can apply the above theorem to conclude:

Corollary 3.4. *Suppose $\mathcal{Z}$ is a closed, cohomologically affine substack of a noetherian Artin stack $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ is Artinian. Then with the notation of (3.3), there is an induced morphism $q : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ satisfying the properties (i) - (x) in Theorem 3.1.* $\square$

3.5. The corollary above implies that there is an isomorphism of topological rings $\varprojlim \Gamma(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_n}) \rightarrow (\varprojlim \Gamma(U_n, \mathcal{O}_{U_n}))^{\mathfrak{R}}$. If there exists a good moduli space $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$, it is natural to compare these topological rings with the complete local ring induced by the image of $\mathcal{Z}$.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is a locally noetherian Artin stack admitting a good moduli space $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ and $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is a closed substack defined by a sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I}$. Let $\mathcal{X}_n$ be the nilpotent thickenings of $\mathcal{Z}$ defined by $\mathcal{I}^{n+1}$. If $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is cohomologically affine and $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is Artinian, then the image $y \in |Y|$ of $\mathcal{Z}$ is a closed point and the induced morphism*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y} \longrightarrow \varprojlim \Gamma(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_n})$$

is an isomorphism, where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y} = \varprojlim \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y / \mathcal{J}^n)$ and $\mathcal{J}$ defines the closed immersion $\mathrm{Spec} k(y) \hookrightarrow Y$.

Proof. We have that $\phi_* \mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{J}$ and $\varprojlim \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y / (\phi_* \mathcal{I})^n) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,Y}$ is an isomorphism. We also have the identification $\varprojlim \Gamma(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_n}) = \varprojlim \Gamma(Y, \phi_* \mathcal{I}^n)$. There is an inclusion $(\phi_* \mathcal{I})^n \subseteq \phi_*(\mathcal{I}^n)$. Since Y_n is Artinian, the descending chain of sheaves of ideals $\phi_*(\mathcal{I}^n) \supseteq \phi_*(\mathcal{I}^{n+1}) \supseteq \dots$ in Y_n terminates so that for all n , there exists a N such that $\phi_*(\mathcal{I}^N) \subseteq (\phi_* \mathcal{I})^n$. $\square$

3.3. Local structure around closed points with linearly reductive stabilizer. We apply the results above to the case in which we are most interested in: $\mathcal{X}$ is a noetherian Artin stack and $\xi \in |\mathcal{X}|$ is a closed point with linearly reductive stabilizer. There is a closed immersion $\mathcal{G}_{\xi} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ which, as in (3.3) induces a smooth, adic S -groupoid of locally noetherian schemes $\mathfrak{R} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{U}$.

Since $\xi \in |\mathcal{X}|$ has linearly reductive stabilizer (see [Alp08, Definition 12.12]), $\mathcal{G}_{\xi}$ is cohomologically affine and $\phi_0 : \mathcal{G}_{\xi} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k(\xi)$ is a good moduli space. The nilpotent thickenings also admit good moduli spaces $\phi_n : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow Y_n$ and there is an induced morphism $q : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$.

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose $\xi \in |\mathcal{X}|$ is a closed point with linearly reductive stabilizer. Then with the notation of (3.3), there is an induced morphism $q : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ satisfying the properties (i) - (x) in Theorem 3.1.* $\square$

3.8. In particular, Corollary 3.7 implies that there is an isomorphism of topological rings $\varprojlim \Gamma(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_n}) \rightarrow (\varprojlim \Gamma(U_n, \mathcal{O}_{U_n}))^{\mathfrak{R}}$. There may not exist a good moduli space for $\mathcal{X}$ but the following corollary will show that we do in fact know the local structure of the good moduli space if it exists.

Corollary 3.9. *Suppose $\mathcal{X}$ is a locally noetherian Artin stack admitting a good moduli space $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ and $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is a closed substack defined by a sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I}$. Let $\mathcal{X}_n$ be the nilpotent*

thickenings of $\mathcal{Z}$ defined by $\mathcal{I}^{n+1}$. If $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ is cohomologically affine and $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is Artinian, then the image $y \in |Y|$ of $\mathcal{Z}$ is a closed point and the induced morphism

$$\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y} \longrightarrow \varprojlim \Gamma(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_n})$$

is an isomorphism, where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y} = \varprojlim \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y/\mathcal{I}^n)$ and $\mathcal{I}$ defines the closed immersion $\mathrm{Spec} k(y) \hookrightarrow Y$. $\square$

Remark 3.10. If the point ξ is not closed, not much can be said about the local structure of the good moduli space; even the dimensions of the good moduli spaces may vary as one varies open substacks containing ξ . For instance, consider $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m$ acting on $\mathbb{A}^4$ via $(t, s) \cdot (w, x, y, z) = (tw, tx, sy, sz)$. Let $\mathcal{X} = [\mathbb{A}^4/\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m]$ and $\xi = (1, 1, 1, 1) \in \mathcal{X}$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the open locus where $(w, x) \neq (0, 0)$ and $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ be the sub-locus where $(y, z) \neq (0, 0)$. Then we have a commutative diagram of good moduli spaces of open substacks containing ξ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{V} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} k \end{array}$$

4. GEOMETRIC INVARIANT THEORY FOR FORMAL SCHEMES

In this section, we show that the constructions of geometric invariant theory carry over for actions of linearly reductive group schemes on formal affine schemes.

4.1. Setup. Let G is a linear reductive affine group scheme over a locally noetherian scheme S . Recall from [Alp08, Section 12] that this means that $G \rightarrow S$ is flat, finite type, and affine and the morphism $BG \rightarrow S$ is cohomologically affine. If $\mathfrak{X}$ is a locally noetherian formal scheme over S , an action of G on $\mathfrak{X}$ consists of a morphism $\sigma : G \times_S \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ such that the usual diagrams commute. Set $\mathfrak{I}$ to be the largest ideal of definition. Note that both the projection and multiplication $p_2, \sigma : G \times_S \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ are adic morphisms, and that $\mathfrak{I}$ is G -invariant.

If $X_n = (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{I}^{n+1})$ are the closed subschemes defined by $\mathcal{I}^{n+1}$, there are induced compatible actions of G on X_n . Conversely, given compatible actions of G on the X_n , there is a unique action of G on $\mathfrak{X}$ restricting to the actions on X_n where $\mathcal{I}$ is an invariant ideal of definition.

Suppose further that $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf} B$, $S = \mathrm{Spec} C$ with B is an I -adic C -algebra and G is an affine fppf linearly reductive group scheme over S . The action of G on $\mathfrak{X}$ translates into a dual action $\sigma^\# : B \rightarrow \Gamma(G) \widehat{\otimes}_C B$ with $\sigma^\#(I) \subseteq \Gamma(G) \widehat{\otimes} I$. The action corresponds to a compatible family of dual actions $\sigma_n^\# : B/I^n \rightarrow \Gamma(G) \otimes_C B/I^n$. Define

$$B^G = \mathrm{Eq}(B \xrightarrow{\sigma^\#, p_2^\#} \Gamma(G) \widehat{\otimes}_C B)$$

Then $\sigma, p_2 : G \times_S \mathfrak{X} \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{X}$ is a smooth, adic S -groupoid of locally noetherian formal schemes where the identity, inverse and composition morphisms and the commutativity of the appropriate diagrams are induced formally from the group action.

The quotient stacks $\mathcal{X}_n = [X_n/G]$ are cohomologically affine and therefore admit good moduli spaces $\phi_n : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow Y_n$ where $Y_n = \mathrm{Spec}(B/I^n)^G$. Let $\mathfrak{Y} = \varinjlim Y_i$ and $q : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$

be the induced morphism. The observations in 3.1.2-3.1.5 have obvious analogues to the case of group actions.

Theorems 3.1 translates into:

Theorem 4.1. *With the notation of 4.1,*

- (i) *The natural map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{q}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})^G$ is an isomorphism of sheaves of topological rings.*
- (ii) *The functor from coherent sheaves on $\mathfrak{X}$ with G -actions to sheaves on $\mathfrak{Y}$ given by $\mathfrak{F} \mapsto (q_* \mathfrak{F})^G$ is exact. Furthermore, the morphism $(q_* \mathfrak{F})^G \rightarrow \varprojlim (\phi_i)_* \mathcal{F}_i$ is an isomorphism of topological $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ -modules.*
- (iii) *q is surjective*
- (iv) *If $\mathfrak{Z} \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ is a closed, G -invariant formal subscheme, then $q(\mathfrak{Z})$ is closed.*
- (v) *If $\mathfrak{Z}_1, \mathfrak{Z}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{X}$ are closed, G -invariant formal subschemes, then set-theoretically*

$$q(\mathfrak{Z}_1) \cap q(\mathfrak{Z}_2) = q(\mathfrak{Z}_1 \cap \mathfrak{Z}_2)$$
- (vi) *q is universal for G -invariant maps to formal schemes.*
- (vii) *If $Y = \mathrm{Spf} A$ is an affine formal scheme, then A is noetherian.*

Suppose furthermore that $\dim Y_0 = 0$ (ie. Y_0 is an Artinian scheme).

- (viii) *$\mathfrak{Y}$ is a locally noetherian formal scheme. In particular, if $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathrm{Spf} A$ and $m = \ker(A \rightarrow A_0)$, then A is an m -adic noetherian ring.*
- (ix) *If $\mathfrak{F}$ is coherent sheaf of $\mathfrak{X}$ with $\mathfrak{X}$ -action, then $(q_* \mathfrak{F})^G$ is a coherent $\mathfrak{Y}$ -module.*
- (x) *If $\mathfrak{I}$ and $\mathfrak{J}$ are two G -invariant coherent sheaves of ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$, then the natural map*

$$(q_* \mathfrak{I})^G + (q_* \mathfrak{J})^G \longrightarrow (q_*(\mathfrak{I} + \mathfrak{J}))^G$$

is an isomorphism.

□

Remark 4.2. The formal analogue of Nagata's fundamental lemma for linear reductive group actions ([Nag64]) hold: if G is a linearly reductive group acting a noetherian affine formal scheme $\mathrm{Spf} A$, then

- (i) For $J \subseteq A$ an invariant ideal,

$$A^G / (J \cap A^G) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A/J)^G$$

- (ii) for $J_1, J_2 \subseteq A$ invariant ideals,

$$J_1 \cap A^G + J_2 \cap A^G \xrightarrow{\sim} (J_1 + J_2) \cap A^G$$

5. ÉTALE LOCAL CONSTRUCTION OF GOOD MODULI SPACES

We begin by recalling a generalization of [Lun73, Lemma 1 on p.90] which gives sufficient criteria for when an étale morphism of Artin stacks induces an étale morphism of good moduli spaces.

Theorem 5.1. ([Alp08, Theorem 5.1]) *Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X}' \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}'$ are locally noetherian Artin stacks, g is locally of finite type, ϕ, ϕ' are good moduli spaces and f is representable. Let $\xi \in |\mathcal{X}|$. Suppose

- (a) f is étale at ξ .
- (b) f is stabilizer preserving at ξ .
- (c) ξ and $f(\xi)$ are closed.

Then g is étale at $\phi(\xi)$. □

Corollary 5.2. *Consider a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X}' \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \end{array}$$

with $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}'$ locally noetherian Artin stacks finite type over S , g locally of finite type, and ϕ, ϕ' good moduli spaces. If f is étale, pointwise stabilizer preserving, and weakly saturated, then g is étale.

Proof. It suffices to check that g is étale at closed points $y \in Y$. There exists a unique closed point $\xi \in |\mathcal{X}|$ above a closed point $y \in |Y|$. The image $s \in S$ is locally closed and we may assume it is closed. Since f is weakly saturated, by base changing by $\text{Spec } k(s) \rightarrow S$, we have that $\mathcal{X}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_s$ maps closed points to closed points so that $f(\xi) \in |\mathcal{X}'_s|$ is closed and therefore $f(\xi) \in |\mathcal{X}'|$ is closed. It follows from the above theorem that g is étale at y . □

We will need the following generalization of [Lun73, Lemma p.89]. Note that here we replace the hypothesis in [Alp08, Proposition 6.4] that f maps closed points to closed points with the weaker hypothesis that f is weakly saturated.

Proposition 5.3. [Alp08, Theorem 9.1] *Suppose $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}'$ are locally noetherian Artin stacks and*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X}' \\ \downarrow \phi' & & \downarrow \phi \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \end{array}$$

is commutative with ϕ, ϕ' good moduli spaces. Suppose

- (a) f is representable, quasi-finite and separated.
- (b) g is finite
- (c) f is weakly saturated.

Then f is finite.

Proof. We may assume S and Y' are affine schemes. Furthermore, $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y \times_{Y'} \mathcal{X}'$ is representable, quasi-finite, separated and weakly saturated so we may assume that g is an isomorphism. By Zariski's Main Theorem ([LMB00, Thm. 16.5]), there exists a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{I} & \mathcal{Z} \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow f' \\ & & \mathcal{X}' \end{array}$$

where I is a open immersion, f' is a finite morphism and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}} \hookrightarrow I_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an inclusion. Since $\mathcal{X}'$ is cohomologically affine and f' is finite, $\mathcal{Z}$ is cohomologically affine and admits a good moduli space $\varphi : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow Y$.

Since f is weakly saturated, I is weakly saturated. Since $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ admit the same good moduli space, by Remark 2.10, I must be an isomorphism. $\square$

The following proposition is useful in verifying condition (c).

Proposition 5.4. *Suppose $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}'$ are locally noetherian Artin stacks and*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X}' \\ \downarrow \phi' & & \downarrow \phi \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram with ϕ, ϕ' good moduli spaces.

- (i) *If f is representable, surjective and g is étale, then f is weakly saturated.*
- (ii) *If f is representable, étale and surjective and g is étale, then the diagram is cartesian.*

Proof. For (i), we claim first that $\Psi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}' \times_{Y'} Y$ is surjective. To show this, we may assume that $Y' = \operatorname{Spec} K$ where K is an algebraically closed field. Since g is étale, $Y = \coprod \operatorname{Spec} K$ and we may also assume $Y = \operatorname{Spec} K$. In this case, the induced map $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}' \times_{Y'} Y$ is isomorphic to f which is surjective.

Since $\mathcal{X}' \times_Y Y' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ is clearly weakly saturated, we may suppose that g is an isomorphism. Since ϕ and ϕ' are good moduli spaces and f is surjective, for any $s : \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow S$, closed points in $\mathcal{X}_s$ must map to closed points in $\mathcal{X}'_s$.

For (ii), by considering the Y -morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}' \times_{Y'} Y$, it suffices to consider the case when $Y = Y'$. By (i), f is weakly saturated so by Proposition 5.3 we see that f is finite and étale. Since there is a unique preimage of any closed point, f must be an isomorphism. $\square$

Remark 5.5. The corollary gives a partial converse statement to Corollary 5.2 implying that conditions (b) and (c) are necessary. Indeed, the fact that the diagram is cartesian implies that f is stabilizer preserving and weakly saturated.

We start with a simple proposition which concludes that good moduli spaces exist locally near a preimage of a closed point after quasi-finite, separated base change.

Proposition 5.6. *Suppose there is a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X}' \\ & & \downarrow \phi \\ & & Y' \end{array}$$

with f a representable, quasi-finite, separated morphism of locally noetherian Artin stacks and ϕ' a good moduli space. Suppose $\xi \in |\mathcal{X}|$ has closed image $\xi' \in |\mathcal{X}'|$. Then there exists an open substack $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ containing ξ and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{f|_{\mathcal{U}}} & \mathcal{X}' \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \end{array}$$

with ϕ a good moduli space.

Proof. By applying Zariski's Main Theorem, there is a factorization $f : \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \mathcal{X}'$ with i an open immersion and $\tilde{f}$ finite. Therefore, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{i} & \tilde{\mathcal{X}} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \mathcal{X}' \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\phi} & & \downarrow \phi' \\ & & \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} & Y' \end{array}$$

with $\tilde{\phi} : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \tilde{Y} := \mathcal{S}pec \phi_* \tilde{f}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}$ and $\tilde{g}$ is finite. Since $\tilde{f}$ is finite, $\xi \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed. Therefore, $\{\xi\}$ and $\mathcal{Z} := \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \setminus \mathcal{X}$ are disjoint, closed substacks so $\tilde{\phi}(\xi)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{Z})$ are closed and disjoint. If $Y = \tilde{Y} \setminus \tilde{\phi}(\mathcal{Z})$, then $\mathcal{U} = \tilde{\phi}^{-1}(Y)$ is an open substack containing ξ and contained in $\mathcal{X}$ admitting a good moduli space $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow Y$. $\square$

We can also prove that good moduli spaces satisfy effective descent for separated, étale, pointwise stabilizer preserving, and weakly saturated morphisms. A version of the below proposition allows one to conclude that good moduli spaces for locally noetherian Artin stacks are universal for maps to algebraic spaces (see [Alp08, Theorem 6.6]).

Proposition 5.7. *Suppose $\phi' : \mathcal{X}' \rightarrow Y'$ is a good moduli space and $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ is a surjective, separated, étale, pointwise stabilizer preserving, and weakly saturated morphism of locally noetherian Artin stacks. Then there exists a good moduli space $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ inducing $g : Y \rightarrow Y'$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X}' \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \end{array}$$

is cartesian.

Proof. By applying Zariski's Main Theorem, there is a factorization $f : \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{i} \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} \mathcal{X}'$ with i an open immersion and $\tilde{f}$ finite.

Since f is weakly saturated, it follows that $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is a saturated open substack. Therefore, there exists a good moduli space $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ inducing a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X}' \\ \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi' \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Y' \end{array}$$

with g locally of finite type. Since f is étale, pointwise stabilizer preserving and weakly saturated, it follows from Corollary 5.2 that g is étale. Proposition 5.4 implies that the diagram is cartesian. $\square$

The following theorem allows us to deduce the existence of good moduli space $\mathcal{X}$ étale locally on $\mathcal{X}$:

Theorem 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an Artin stack locally of finite type over an excellent base S . Suppose there exists an étale, separated, pointwise stabilizer preserving and universally weakly saturated morphism $f : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that there exist a good moduli space $\phi_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow Y_1$. Then there exists a good moduli space $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ inducing a cartesian diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow \phi_1 & & \downarrow \phi \\ Y_1 & \dashrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}_2 = \mathcal{X}_1 \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_1$ with projections p_1 and p_2 . By Proposition 5.7 applied to one of the projections, there exists a good moduli space $\mathcal{X}_2 \rightarrow Y_2$. The two projections p_1, p_2 induce two morphisms $q_1, q_2 : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ such that $q_i \circ \phi_2 = \phi_1 \circ p_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. By [Alp08, Theorem 4.15(xi)], both Y_2 and Y_1 are finite type over S and by Corollary 5.2, q_1 and q_2 are étale. The induced morphisms $\mathcal{X}_2 \rightarrow Y_2 \times_{q_i, Y_1, \phi_1} \mathcal{X}_1$ are isomorphisms by Proposition 5.4. Similarly, by setting $\mathcal{X}_3 = \mathcal{X}_1 \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_1 \times_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{X}_1$, there is a good moduli space $\phi_3 : \mathcal{X}_3 \rightarrow Y_3$. The étale projections $p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{23} : \mathcal{X}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$ induce étale morphism $q_{12}, q_{13}, q_{23} : Y_3 \rightarrow Y_2$. In summary, there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{X}_3 & \rightrightarrows & \mathcal{X}_2 & \rightrightarrows & \mathcal{X}_1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{X} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_3 & \rightrightarrows & Y_2 & \rightrightarrows & Y_1 \end{array}$$

where all horizontal arrows are étale and the squares $p_{ij} \circ \phi_2 = \phi_3 \circ q_{ij}$ and $p_i \circ \phi_1 = \phi_2 \circ q_i$ are cartesian.

There is an identity map $e : \mathcal{X}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_2$, an inverse map $i : \mathcal{X}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_1$ and a multiplication $m : \mathcal{X}_2 \times_{p_1, \mathcal{X}_1, p_2} \mathcal{X}_2 \cong \mathcal{X}_3 \xrightarrow{p_{13}} \mathcal{X}_2$ inducing 2-diagrams: $p_2 \circ e \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1 \circ e$, $i \circ i \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id}$, $t \circ i = s$, $m \circ (i, \text{id}) \xrightarrow{\sim} e \circ p_1$, $m \circ (\text{id}, i) \xrightarrow{\sim} e \circ p_2$, $(e \circ p_1, \text{id}) \circ m \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id} \xrightarrow{\sim} (e \circ p_2, \text{id}) \circ m$ and $(m, \text{id}) \circ m \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{id}, m) \circ m$. By universality of good moduli spaces, there is an induced identity map $Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$, an inverse $Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ and multiplication $Y_2 \times_{q_1, Y_1, q_2} Y_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ inducing commutative diagrams (as above) giving $Y_2 \rightrightarrows Y_1$ an S -groupoid structure. Therefore, there exists an algebraic space quotient Y and an induced map $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ such that $\mathcal{X}_1 \cong \mathcal{X} \times_Y Y_1$. By descent ([Alp08, Proposition 4.7]), $\phi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ is a good moduli space. $\square$

Remark 5.9. The *universally* weakly saturated hypothesis was only used in the proof above to conclude that the projections $\mathcal{X}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{X}_1$ are weakly saturated so that the induced maps $Y_2 \rightrightarrows Y_1$ are étale.

Remark 5.10. The above theorem can not be weakened to only requiring that f is stabilizer preserving at ξ_1 . Indeed, in Example 2.3, the natural étale presentation $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is stabilizer preserving at the origin and both projections $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times X \cong X \times_{\mathcal{X}} X \rightrightarrows X$ are weakly saturated. Clearly X admits a good moduli space since it itself is a scheme but $\mathcal{X}$ does not admit a good moduli space.

REFERENCES

- [Alp08] Jarod Alper, *Good moduli spaces for Artin stacks*, draft available on <http://math.stanford.edu/~jarod> (2008).
- [Alp09] ———, *On the local quotient structure of Artin stacks*, draft available on <http://math.stanford.edu/~jarod> (2009).
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1961-1967), no. 4,8,11,17,20,24,28,32.
- [KM97] Seán Keel and Shigefumi Mori, *Quotients by groupoids*, Ann. of Math. (2) **145** (1997), no. 1, 193–213.
- [Kol97] János Kollár, *Quotient spaces modulo algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **145** (1997), no. 1, 33–79.
- [LMB00] Gérard Laumon and Laurent Moret-Bailly, *Champs algébriques*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 39, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [Lun73] Domingo Luna, *Slices étales*, Sur les groupes algébriques, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1973, pp. 81–105. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Paris, Mémoire 33.
- [Mum65] David Mumford, *Geometric invariant theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Neue Folge, Band 34, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.
- [Nag64] Masayoshi Nagata, *Invariants of a group in an affine ring*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **3** (1963/1964), 369–377.
- [Ryd07] David Rydh, *Existence of quotients by finite groups and coarse moduli spaces*, math.AG/0708.3333 (2007).

(Alper) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY, 2990 BROADWAY, NEW YORK, NY 10027

E-mail address: jarod@math.columbia.edu